

CRITERIA FOR CHOOSING AND ESTIMATING CONTENT

ASSESSMENT OF THE PAPER BY **REVIEWERS**

Paper's mean score on the reviewing (Reviewer's rating) $\overline{R_{\Sigma}^{(j)}}$		Stars by reviewers	Content quality assessment
The scale			
0–1	0–5		
0–0,1	0–0,5	0,5	Not recommended by reviewers at all. If you pay for a full access to this paper, you do it at your discretion: the paper is unlikely to meet your needs.
0,11–0,2	0,5–1,0	1	Not recommended by reviewers. If you pay for a full access to this paper, you do it at your discretion: the paper is unlikely to meet your needs.
0,21–0,3	1,0–1,5	1,5	Not recommended by reviewers as it requires fundamental re-working. If you pay for a full access to this paper, you do it at your discretion: the paper may not meet your needs.
0,31–0,4	1,5–2,0	2	Not recommended by reviewers as the paper is not fully compliant with the required quality. If you pay for a full access to this paper, you do it at your discretion: the paper may not meet your needs.
0,41–0,5	2,0–2,5	2,5	Based on reviewing, this paper meets the minimal threshold. The work may prove useful in specific aspects.
0,51–0,6	2,5–3,0	3	The paper has been favorably reviewed and may prove useful in several aspects.
0,61–0,7	3,0–3,5	3,5	Based on reviewing, this paper complies with quality requirements.
0,71–0,8	3,5–4,0	4	Based on reviewing, this paper complies with high quality requirements.
0,81–0,9	4,0–4,5	4,5	Based on reviewing, this paper complies with very high quality requirements.
0,91–1	4,5–5,0	5	Based on reviewing, this paper complies with the requirements to the best quality in a given field.

ASSESSMENT OF THE PAPER BY **END USERS**

Stars from end users	Content quality assessment	User's rating	
		The scale	
		0-5	0-1
0,5	The paper is not interesting at all.	0-0,5	0-0,1
1	Very poor paper.	0,5-1,0	0,11-0,2
1,5	Poor paper.	1,0-1,5	0,21-0,3
2	It might be useful for other readers, but it did not meet my expectations.	1,5-2,0	0,31-0,4
2,5	This paper is useful in specific aspects.	2,0-2,5	0,41-0,5
3	This paper is useful in several aspects.	2,5-3,0	0,51-0,6
3,5	This is a paper of quality but it did not fully meet my expectations.	3,0-3,5	0,61-0,7
4	This paper is of high quality as it is useful for practice (and/or) theory. It met my expectations.	3,5-4,0	0,71-0,8
4,5	This paper is of very high quality and it is useful for my practical activities. It met all my expectations.	4,0-4,5	0,81-0,9
5	This paper is very relevant for practice (and/or) theory. It fully met my expectations while its concepts and/or results have the potential for practice (patenting) or deserve wide recognition in its scientific field.	4,5-5,0	0,91-1